

A: EVALUATION FORM, CGKN WORKSHOP, JUNE 4 and 5, 2000

Please rate the following statements on a scale from 1 (strongly disagree) to 10 (strongly agree) by circling the appropriate number. If for any reason you are not able to rate a statement, please circle "N/A". Please note any comments you may have in the space provided.

Preparation for the workshop

- 1. I received notification of the workshop well in advance 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 2. The materials sent to me before the workshop were sufficient to help me prepare 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____

Registration

- 3. Registration was efficient and I was given clear information about organizational arrangements and facilities 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____

Facilities and accommodation

- 4. The plenary and break-out meeting rooms were suitable for the purpose 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 5. Coffee, snacks and lunch were good 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____

Workshop

- 6. I clearly understood the objective of the workshop, and the challenges CGKN faces 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 7. I was able to contribute 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- It was fun 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 9. The objectives of the workshop were achieved 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 10. The workshop was useful to me and/or my organization 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 11. Facilitation of the workshop was good 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____

Follow-up

- 12. I clearly understand the next steps 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____
- 13. I am confident that the action plans generated during the workshop will be implemented 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 N/A
Comments: _____

Suggestions for improvement

Workshop evaluation results	average	minimum	maximum	number
			1	10 out of 30
1. Notification	9.2	3	10	29
2. Advance materials	8.0	3	10	28
3. Registration	9.3	7	10	29
4. Facilities; rooms	7.4	5	10	30
5. Facilities; refreshments	8.7	5	10	30
6. Workshop format; clarity of objectives	7.6	4	10	30
7. Workshop format; able to contribute	7.6	2	10	29
8. Workshop format; fun	7.3	4	10	29
9. Workshop format; achieved objectives	7.8	3	10	27
10. Workshop format; useful to me/my organization	8.3	5	10	30
11. Workshop format; facilitation effectiveness	9.2	8	10	30
12. Follow-up; next steps clear	7.6	3	10	29
13. Follow-up; confidence that action plans will be pursued	7.0	2	10	28

General comments and suggestions for improvement

- Communication by participants prior to the workshop would have been a benefit
- I would like to thank the organizers for allowing me to participate. I found the WS very useful for my organization, and I would like to stay informed on CGKN activities. I have to explore with my management to what degree NGU would like to "cooperate".
- A little better facilities and organization for the demos would have been nice. I thought it went great!! Thanks to all.
- Better facility (room too small). Avoid meeting on a weekend.
- We have to start with a realistic project to improve ourselves, to succeed in realizing something together.
- Let's start with the catalogue of geoscience information/data. This is plenty of job for 18 months and half a million \$. Let's continue later with geology and then mineral deposits....
- I went away with the uneasy sense that the time line for the data model development was too long, and the budget too large. We need more immediate, tangible results.
- It was very valuable for me to see what others were up to.

